arXiv:1201.1856v2 [math-ph] 10 Feb 2012

STABILITY OF THE INVERSE RESONANCE PROBLEM ON THE LINE

MATTHEW BLEDSOE

Abstract. In the absence of a half-bound state, a compactly supported potential of a Schrödinger operator on the line is determined up to a translation by the zeros and poles of the meropmorphically continued left (or right) reflection coefficient. The poles are the eigenvalues and resonances, while the zeros also are physically relevant. We prove that all compactly supported potentials (without half-bound states) that have reflection coefficients whose zeros and poles are ε-close in some disk centered at the origin are also close (in a suitable sense). In addition, we prove stability of small perturbations of the zero potential (which has a half-bound state) from only the eigenvalues and resonances of the perturbation.

1. Introduction

The inverse resonance problem for the Schrödinger equation

$$-y'' + q(x)y = \lambda y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

seeks to determine a compactly supported potential q from the eigenvalues and resonances which are fundamental objects in quantum mechanics. Physically, eigenvalues represent energies for which a particle is permanently trapped by the potential while resonances are related to energies for which the particle is temporarily trapped, but eventually escapes.[1]

Classically, one needs the left (or right) reflection coefficient (as a function on $\mathbb{R}$), the eigenvalues, and the norming constants to solve the Gel'fand-Levitan-Marchenko equation for the potential (see [13] or [14]). However, if the potential is known to have support on a left (or right) half-line, then the right (or left) reflection coefficient is sufficient to recover the potential [15, 2, 7, 9]. In this case, the reflection coefficient can be meromorphically extended to the upper half-plane (see also [5]) with poles at the eigenvalues and residues equal to the norming constants modulo a factor of i. Therefore, either of the reflection coefficients is sufficient to determine a compactly supported potential uniquely. The question then becomes whether the eigenvalues and resonances can determine a reflection coefficient as a function on $\mathbb{R}$.

When the potential is compactly supported, the reflection coefficients can be meromorphically continued to the entire complex plane. The eigenvalues and resonances are the (the squares of) the poles of the reflection coefficients in the upper and lower half-planes, respectively. When the potential is real-valued, these data are sufficient to determine the *modulus* of the reflection coefficient on the line. However, they cannot determine the phase. Therefore, more data are needed to determine the reflection coefficient. To date, only Korotyaev [11] has addressed uniqueness and characterization for this problem by adding additional data (see section 2). Although, Zworski pointed out earlier [21] that even symmetric potentials may not be determined by their eigenvalues and resonances.[2]

---

[1] See Zworski [20] for an expositional introduction to resonances.

The zeros of the reflection coefficient on the real line are (the square roots of) the energies for which an incoming particle will pass through the potential unreflected. The physical meaning of the non-real zeros of the reflection coefficient is less obvious. However, they must be at least as physical as the resonances for the following reason. The wavefunctions (the solutions of the Schrödinger equation) asssociated with resonances are not square integrable at either plus or minus infinity. On the other hand, the wavefunctions associated with non-real zeros of the reflection coefficient are square integrable at one infinity, but not at the other depending on whether the zero is in the upper or lower half-plane. In this sense, then, the non-real zeros of the reflection coefficient are physical.

Since there are infinitely many zeros and poles, a natural question arises: What happens if we know only a finite subset of the data? Specifically, if two potentials have reflection coefficients whose zeros and poles are, respectively, close to each other in a large disk centered at the origin, then how "close" are the potentials? That is, we are interested in a finite data stability problem. This problem is physically and computationally significant: since only finitely many data can ever be meausred or input into an inversion algorithm, one needs to know how close one can get to the "true" potential.

Stability of the inverse scattering problem on the line has previously received some attention, but little when compared to uniqueness. Aktosun [1] considers stability in the case of no eigenvalues and when the reflection coefficient is known in some interval. Aslanov [3] considers a similar problem, but allows eigenvalues. Dorren, et al. [6] consider a perturbation of the the Fourier transform of the the reflection coefficient as data and allows only rational reflection coefficients. Finally, Hitrik [9] considers a finite data stability problem with data consisting of discrete values of the reflection coefficient on the positive imaginary axis; he also does not allow for eigenvalues. The use of resonances as data in a stability problem has not been considered previously. We mention also some other stability results in one dimension: for bounded intervals where the data consist of two (infinite) sets of eigenvalues see [19, 18, 17, 10], and for the half-line inverse resonance problem see [12].

Our method is an extension of the one used by Marletta, Shterenberg, and Weikard in [16]. There the authors treat the finite data inverse resonance problem on the half-line $[0, \infty)$ with Dirichlet condition at zero. It uses Hadamard's factorization theorem, some simple properties of the Fourier transform, and an estimate of the solution of an integral equation based on iteration.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we review scattering theory on the line, set notation, and present the uniqueness result, Theorem 2.2, upon which our stability analysis is based. The final four sections are devoted to stability. Our main result is Theorem 5.3 and its Corollary 5.4. The final section is special case of stability in the presence of a half-bound state—namely, when one of the potentials is identically zero.

---

[2] With symmetric potentials, the *square* of the reflection coefficient can be determined from the eigenvalues and resonances, but not necessarily the sign.

2. Scattering theory

We begin with the Schrödinger equation

$$-y'' + qy = z^2 y \tag{2.1}$$

where q satisfies the following.

Assumption 1. The potential is real-valued, integrable, and compactly supported.

Let q satisfy Assumption 1, and suppose supp $q \subset [c, d]$. Then for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ there exist unique solutions, $f^{\pm}(\cdot, z)$, of (2.1) such that $f^{+}(x, z) = e^{izx}$ for $x \geq d$ and $f^{-}(x, z) = e^{-izx}$ for $x \leq c$. These solutions are called the *Jost solutions* and have the following representations:

$$f^{+}(x, z) = e^{izx} + \int_{x}^{2d-x} K^{+}(x, t) e^{izt}\, dt, \tag{2.2}$$

$$f^{-}(x, z) = e^{-izx} + \int_{2c-x}^{x} K^{-}(x, t) e^{-izt}\, dt, \tag{2.3}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The functions $K^{\pm}$ are the kernels of the *transformation operators.* These kernels are real-valued, supported in the triangles $\{(x, t) : x \leq t \leq 2d - x\}$ and $\{(x, t) : 2c - x \leq t \leq x\}$, respectively, and satisfy

$$\left|K^{\pm}(x, t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\|q\right\|_1 \exp(\left\|q\right\|_1 (d - c)), \quad (x, t) \in \text{supp } K^{\pm}. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, in the interior of their supports $K^{\pm}$ have first order partial derivatives such that

$$\left|K^{\pm}_{\tau}(x, t) \pm \frac{1}{4} q\left(\frac{x + t}{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\|q\right\|_1^2 \exp(\left\|q\right\|_1 (d - c)) \tag{2.5}$$

where τ stands for x or t. We refer the reader to [14] or [4] for details about these transformation operators.

Let $[f, g] = fg' - f'g$ be the Wronskian of f and g. Since the Wronskian of two solutions of (2.1) is constant, we find $[f^{\pm}(\cdot, z), f^{\pm}(\cdot, -z)] = \mp 2iz$. Furthermore, we define the functions w and $s^{\pm}$ by

$$w(z) = [f^{-}(\cdot, z), f^{+}(\cdot, z)] \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$s^{\pm}(z) = [f^{+}(\cdot, \mp z), f^{-}(\cdot, \pm z)]. \tag{2.7}$$

As a non-zero Wronskian implies linear independence of solutions of (2.1), we easily deduce that

$$f^{\pm}(\cdot, z) = \frac{w(z)}{2iz} f^{\mp}(\cdot, -z) + \frac{s^{\mp}(z)}{2iz} f^{\mp}(\cdot, z) \tag{2.8}$$

for every $z \neq 0$. The scattering matrix is given by

$$S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{T}(z) & \mathfrak{R}^{-}(z) \\ \mathfrak{R}^{+}(z) & \mathfrak{T}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathfrak{T}(z) = 2iz/w(z)$ is the transmission coefficient and $\mathfrak{R}^{\pm}(z) = s^{\pm}(z)/w(z)$ are the right and left reflection coefficients, respectively. We will use the notation w_q and $s_q^{\pm}$, when necessary, to make the dependence upon the potential explicit.

Lemma 2.1. *Let q satisfy Assumption 1. The functions w and $s^{\pm}$ are entire, have growth order at most one, and satisfy:*

(i) $\overline{w(z)} = w(-\overline{z})$, $\overline{s^{\pm}(z)} = s^{\pm}(-\overline{z})$;
(ii) $s^{-}(z) = s^{+}(-z)$;
(iii) $w(z)w(-z) - 4z^2 = s^{\pm}(z)s^{\pm}(-z)$;
(iv) $w(0) = -s^{\pm}(0)$.

Proof. From (2.2) and (2.3) and the estimates (2.4) and (2.5) it is clear that for each x, $f^{\pm}(x,\cdot)$ and $f^{\pm\prime}(x,\cdot)$ are entire functions of growth order at most one. Since $K^{\pm}$ are real-valued, $f^{\pm}(x,\cdot)$ and $f^{\pm\prime}(x,\cdot)$ have the property that $\overline{f(z)} = f(-\overline{z})$. Therefore, w and $s^{\pm}$ are entire, of growth order at most one, and satisfy (i). Property (ii) is a direct consequence of (2.7). Applying the identity

$$[g_1, g_2][g_3, g_4] - [g_4, g_1][g_2, g_3] = [g_1, g_3][g_2, g_4]$$

and using $[f^{\pm}(x,z), f^{\pm}(x,-z)] = \pm 2iz$ establishes (iii). Because $[f,g] = -[g,f]$, the final property is true. ☐

Lemma 2.1.iii shows that w and $s^{\pm}$ cannot be zero simultaneously except at $z = 0$. So the poles of the reflection coefficients are precisely the zeros of w. The zeros of $\mathfrak{R}^{\pm}$ are, of course, the zeros of $s^{\pm}$. When Im $z > 0$, the Jost solutions,$f^{+}(\cdot, z)$ and $f^{-}(\cdot, z)$, are exponentially decreasing on the the right and left half-lines, respectively. Therefore, if $w(z) = 0$ and Im $z > 0$, then $f^{+}(\cdot, z) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ since it is proportional to $f^{-}(\cdot, z)$ and z^2 is an eigenvalue of the operator generated by $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q$. The eigenvalues must be real so the zeros of w in the upper half-plane must be on the positive imaginary axis. When $w(z) = 0$ and Im $z < 0$, z^2 is called a *resonance*. Lemma 2.1 parts (i) and (iii) show that w cannot vanish on $\mathbb{R}$ except at zero. If $w(0) = 0$, then we say there is a *half-bound state*. Combining parts (i), (iii), and (iv) of Lemma 2.1 shows that this zero is at most simple. However, the zero of $s^{\pm}$ at $z = 0$ need not be simple. We now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.2. *A real-valued, integrable, and compactly-supported potential is determined by the zeros and poles of one of its reflection coefficients up to a shift when there is no half-bound state or there is at least one eigenvalue.*

The proof of this theorem will be given at the end of the section after a series of lemmas. We note that Korotyaev, in [11] Theorem 1.2.i, has a result in much the same vein as Thereom 2.2. First, he excludes the possiblity of a shift by requiring that the potential is supported in $[0,1]$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the sets supp $q \cap (0, \varepsilon)$ and supp $q \cap (1-\varepsilon, 1)$ have positive measure. Next, the data given for the inversion are the eigenvalues, resonances, and a sequence, σ, whose values are taken from the set $\{-1, 01\}$ (subject to some characterization constraints). The eigenvalues and resonances determine w as above. Then, the function on left hand side of the equation in (2.13) is also determined. Its zeros are either zeros of s^{-} or $s^{-}(-\cdot)$. Then σ is used to separate these zeros into those of s^{-} and $s^{-}(-\cdot)$ and to determine the sign of $\exp(b_0)$. Therefore, the left reflection coefficient is determined. Knowing the sequence σ is the same as knowing the zeros of s^{-}, so, in this sense, our result is not new and we do not claim originality. Our goal is a finite data stability result and the zeros of s^{-} are easier to work with than σ in this context.

Since a potential satisfying Assumption 1 is determined by one of its reflection coefficients, in order to prove the theorem, we need to show that a reflection coefficient is determined (up to a certain factor) by its zeros and poles. To this end, we will utilize two different representations of w and $s^{\pm}$. The first is in terms of the zeros and poles of $\mathfrak{R}^{\pm}$, i.e. the zeros of $s^{\pm}$ and w. The second is in terms of the transformation operators. For the first, let $\{w_n : 0 < |w_1| \leq |w_2| \leq \ldots, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the zeros of w (the square roots of the eigenvalues and resonances) listed according to multiplicity. By Hadamard's Factorization Theorem and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$w(z) = z^m e^{g(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{w_n}\right) e^{z/w_n}, \quad m \in \{0, 1\} \tag{2.9}$$

where $g(z) = a_1 z + a_0$. Likewise, let $\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the set of zeros of s^- listed according to multiplicity and by increasing modulus; we have by Lemma 2.1(ii)

$$s^{\pm}(z) = (\mp 1)^{\ell} z^{\ell} e^{h(\mp z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 \pm \frac{z}{s_n}\right) e^{\mp z/s_n}, \quad \ell \geq m, \tag{2.10}$$

where $h(z) = b_1 z + b_0$.

For the second representation, suppose, again, that $\operatorname{supp} q \subset [c, d]$. Then a straightforward calculation from (2.2), (2.3), (2.6), and (2.7) shows

$$w(z) = 2iz - \int_c^d q(s)\, ds + \int_c^{2d-c} [K_x^+(c,t) - K_t^+(c,t)] e^{iz(t-c)}\, dt, \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$s^-(z) = -\int_c^{2d-c} [K_x^+(c,t) + K_t^+(c,t)] e^{iz(t+c)}\, dt. \tag{2.12}$$

We gain from these representations that $(2iz)^{-1} w(z) \to 1$ as $z \to \infty$ in the closed upper half-plane and the following usefal fact.

Proposition 2.3. *In the factorization* (2.10), b_1 *is purely imaginary.*

Proof. From (2.12), s^- has the series representation

$$s^-(z) = -\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \int_c^{2d-c} (t+c)^n [K_x^+(c,t) + K_t^+(c,t)]\, dt\, z^n.$$

Let $S(z) = z^{-\ell} s^-(z)$. Then,

$$b_1 = \frac{\dot{S}(0)}{S(0)} = \frac{i}{\ell+1} \frac{\int_c^{2d-c} (t+c)^{\ell+1} [K_x^+(c,t) + K_t^+(c,t)]\, dt}{\int_c^{2d-c} (t+c)^{\ell} [K_x^+(c,t) + K_t^+(c,t)]\, dt}.$$

Since q is real-valued, both integrals above are real. Therefore, b_1 is purely imaginary.

□

The final ingredient shows the effect of a shift of the potential on w and $s^{\pm}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let q and $\tilde{q}$ satisfy Assumption 1. Then, $\tilde{q}(x) = q(x - \alpha)$ for some real number α if and only if $w_{\tilde{q}} = w_q$ and $s^{\pm}_{\tilde{q}}(z) = e^{\pm 2\alpha i z} s^{\pm}_q(z)$.*

Proof. Let $f^{\pm}$ be the Jost solutions associated with q. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{q}(x) = q(x-\alpha)$. Then the Jost solutions asscociated to $\tilde{q}$ are given by $\tilde{f}^{+}(x,z) = e^{iz\alpha} f^{+}(x-\alpha)$ and $\tilde{f}^{-}(x,z) = e^{-iz\alpha} f^{-}(x-\alpha)$. Substituting these into (2.6) and (2.7) gives the necessary form for $w_{\tilde{q}}$ and $s^{\pm}_{\tilde{q}}$.

On the other hand, suppose $w_{\tilde{q}} = w_q$ and $s^{\pm}_{\tilde{q}}(z) = e^{\pm 2\alpha i z} s^{\pm}_{q}(z)$. Since a compactly supported potential is determined by either of the reflection coefficients, we must have that $\tilde{q}(x) = q(x-\alpha)$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The poles of the reflection coefficient and the asymptotics of w determine all the necessary quantities in (2.9). Thus, we need to determine s^- or s^+ from their zeros. We only give the proof for s^- since the proof for s^+ is similar. Recall from Lemma 2.1.iii that

$$w(z)w(-z) - 4z^2 = s^{-}(z)s^{-}(-z). \tag{2.13}$$

Since the left hand side above is known and the right hand side has a zero of order 2ℓ at $z=0$, ℓ is determined. When there is no half-bound state, we can determine $\exp(b_0) = s^-(0)$, because $w(0) = -s^-(0) \neq 0$. On the other hand, if there is a half-bound state, then we can only determine $\exp(2b_0)$ from (2.13). However, if there is an eigenvalue corresponding to $z = ik$, the sign of $\exp(b_0)$ is determined by the following standard fact:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| f^{\pm}(x,ik) \right|^2 \, dx = i \frac{s^{\pm}(ik)\dot{w}(ik)}{4k^2} > 0$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to z.

In both cases, s^- is determined up to the factor $\exp(b_1 z)$. Applying Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 completes the proof. □

3. THE EFFECT OF PERTURBING THE ZEROS OF w AND s IN A DISK

3.1. **Outline of the stability proof.** We now turn to the issue of stability. For convenience (and without loss of generality), we assume all potentials are supported in $[-1,1]$. Let us first fix some notation. Since we will be dealing with different transformation operators (we identify the operator with its kernel), we write:

- K^+ and $\tilde{K}^+$ transform from the zero potential to potentials q and $\tilde{q}$, respectively;
- L^+ tranforms from the potential q back to the zero potential;
- B^+ transforms from q to $\tilde{q}$.

We put $\tilde{w} = w_{\tilde{q}}$ and $\tilde{s}^{\pm} = s^{\pm}_{\tilde{q}}$. We use $\mathcal{D}(r,z_0)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}(r,z_0)$ for the open and, respectively, closed disks with radius r and center z_0. When $z_0 = 0$, we write $\mathcal{D}(r) = \mathcal{D}(r,0)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}(r) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}(r,0)$. Finally, we denote the dependence of a constant on the various parameters by writing $C = C(Q)$, for example.

The proof of Theorem 5.3 has three main steps which are the contents of this and the next two sections. Our assumption is that q and $\tilde{q}$ are two potentials without half-bound states ($q \equiv 0$ is, thus, excluded) for which the zeros and poles of their left reflection coefficients (i.e. the zeros of w and s^-) are ε-close, respectively, in $\mathcal{D}(R)$. The first step is to obtain estimates of $|w(z) - \tilde{w}(z)|$ and $|s^-(z) - \tilde{s}^-(z)|$ in an interval of the real line using the factorizations (2.9) and (2.10). These estimates will result in a bound on $\left|f^+(-1,z)-\tilde{f}^+(-1,z)\right|$ since

$$f^+(-1,z)-\tilde{f}^+(-1,z)=\frac{e^{-iz}}{2iz}(w(z)-\tilde{w}(z))+\frac{e^{iz}}{2iz}(s^-(z)-\tilde{s}^-(z)), \tag{3.1}$$

by (2.8).

The next step is to use the bound on $\left|f^+(-1,z)-\tilde{f}^+(-1,z)\right|$ and properties of the Fourier transform to estimate $\left|K^+(-1,\cdot)-\tilde{K}^+(-1,\cdot)\right|$ via

$$f^+(-1,z)-\tilde{f}^+(-1,z)=\int_{-1}^{3}[K^+(-1,t)-\tilde{K}^+(-1,t)]e^{izt}\,dt. \tag{3.2}$$

Since

$$B^+(x,t)=\tilde{K}^+(x,t)-K^+(x,t)+\int_x^t(\tilde{K}^+-K^+)(x,s)L^+(s,t)\,ds, \tag{3.3}$$

and L^+ is bounded by a constant depending only on $\|q\|_1$, we obtain a bound on $B^+(-1,\cdot)$ from one on $K^+(-1,\cdot)-\tilde{K}^+(-1,\cdot)$.

Finally, we use an integral equation and our estimate of $B^+(-1,\cdot)$ to bound $B^+(x,t)$ in the triangle $\{(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^2 : -1\le x\le t\le 2-x\}$. In particular, we will have bounded

$$2\left|B^+(x,x)\right|=\left|\int_x^1 q(s)-\tilde{q}(s)\,ds\right|$$

for $x\in[-1,1]$.

3.2. Preliminary estimates and some properties of w and s.

The remainder of this section will be dedicated to obtaining estimates on the differences of w and $\tilde{w}$ and s^- and $\tilde{s}^-$. Since we will exclusively focus on s^- in the sequel, we drop the superscript, i.e. $s=s^-$ and $\tilde{s}=\tilde{s}^-$.

Since the value of $s(0)$ (and $w(0)$) can be arbitrarily small in the absence of a half-bound state, we will need to assume a uniform lower bound on $|s(0)|$ for every potential under consideration. This fact leads us to the definition of the class of potentials in which we will work.

Definition 1. Let Q and δ be positive numbers. The set $B_\delta(Q)$ consists of functions $q\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $q\subset[-1,1]$ such that

(i) $\|q\|_1\le Q$;
(ii) $\delta\le|s(0)|=|w(0)|$.

We begin with *a priori* estimates on w and s for potentials in $B_\delta(Q)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $Q>0$ there is a constant $\kappa=\kappa(Q)>0$ such that for any q whose support is contained in $[-1,1]$ and whose L^1-norm is bounded by Q, the associated functions w and s satisfy the following.*

(i) *For $z\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im} z\ge 0$, $|w(z)-2iz|\le\kappa$;*
(ii) *for $z\in\mathbb{C}$, $|w(z)|\le\kappa e^{4|z|}$;*
(iii) *for any positive ρ, $|w(z)/(2iz)-1|\le\kappa/\rho$ for all $z\in\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\rho;3i\rho)$;*
(iv) *for $z\in\mathbb{R}$, $|s(z)|\le\kappa$;*
(v) *for $z\in\mathbb{C}$, $|s(z)|\le\kappa e^{2|z|}$.*

Proof. From the representations (2.11) and (2.12) and the estimates (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$|w(z) - 2iz| \leq Q + \int_{-1}^{3} Q^2 e^{2Q} e^{-\text{Im } z(t+1)}\, dt \tag{3.4}$$

$$|s(z)| \leq \int_{-1}^{3} \left[2Q^2 e^{2Q} + \frac{1}{2}\left| q\left(\frac{t-1}{2}\right)\right| \right] e^{\text{Im } z(t-1)}\, dt. \tag{3.5}$$

When Im $z \geq 0$, we have $|w(z) - 2iz| \leq Q + 4Q^2e^{2Q}$. On the other hand, if $z \in \mathbb{R}$, then $|s(z)| \leq 8Q^2e^{2Q} + Q/2$. Choosing the larger of the two bounds as κ proves (i) and (iv). Bounding $-$Im z by $|z|$ shows that the exponential in (3.4) is bounded by $\exp(4\,|z|)$ and the one in (3.5) of s by $\exp(2\,|z|)$ which proves (ii) and (v). Finally, multiplying both sides of the inequality in (i) by $|2iz|^{-1}$ and using $0 < 2\rho \leq |z|$ for all $z \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}(\rho; 3i\rho)$ proves (iii). □

An entire function, f, is said to be of *exponential type* if there exist constants c_1 and c_2 such that $|f(z)| \leq c_1 \exp(c_2\,|z|)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. From parts (ii) and (v) of the above lemma, we see that w and s are of exponential type. We will need the following lemma about this class of functions.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose f is an entire function of exponential type with the associated constants, c_1 and c_2, establishing that fact. Let a_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the non-zero zeros of f listed according to multiplicity and by increasing modulus. Let $N_f(r; z_0)$ be the number of zeros of f in $\mathcal{D}(r, z_0)$, and define*

$$\Pi_f(R, z) = \prod_{|a_n| \geq R} \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right) e^{z/a_n}.$$

If $f(z_0) \neq 0$, then

$$N_f(, ; z_0) \leq c_2(er + |z_0|) + \log(c_1/\,|f(z_0)|), \tag{3.6}$$

for any $r > 0$.

In addition, if $R \geq 3\,|z_0|$, then

$$|\Pi_f(R, z) - 1| \leq 18(c_2 + \log(c_1/\,|f(z_0)|))\frac{|z|^2}{R} \exp\left[18(c_2 + \log(c_1/\,|f(z_0)|))\frac{|z|^2}{R}\right]$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{D}(R/2)$.

Proof. Jensen's Formula implies

$$\begin{aligned} N_f(r, z_0) \leq \int_0^{er} \frac{N_f(t, z_0)}{t}\, dt &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log\left|f(z_0 + ere^{it})\right|\, dt - \log|f(z_0)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log c_1 + c_2\left|z_0 + ere^{it}\right|\, dt - \log|f(z_0)|\,. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the triangle inequality proves (3.6).

Let $R \geq 3\,|z_0|$. Then, for every $z \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}(R/2; z_0)$, we know $|z/a_n| \leq 1/2$ so $u = \log \Pi_f(R, z)$ is well-defined. To prove the final statement of the lemma, it suffices to show

$$|u| \leq 18(c_2 + \log(c_1/\,|f(z_0)|))\frac{|z|^2}{R}, \tag{3.7}$$

since $|e^u - 1| \leq |u| e^{|u|}$. The elementary factor $E(z/a_n)$ satisfies $|\log E(z/a_n)| \leq 2|z/a_n|^2$. Hence, we must bound

$$S = \sum_{|a_n| \geq R} |a_n|^{-2}.$$

To that end, observe

$$S \leq 2 \sum_{|a_n - z_0| \geq 2R/3} |a_n - z_0|^{-2} \leq 2 \int_{2R/3}^{\infty} \frac{dN_f(t, z_0)}{t^2} \leq 4 \int_{2R/3}^{\infty} \frac{N_f(t, z_0)\, dt}{t^3}$$

since $|a_n| \geq R \geq 3|z_0|$ implies $|a_n| \geq 3|a_n - z_0|/4$. Now, we use (3.6) to see that

$$4 \int_{2R/3}^{\infty} \frac{N_f(t, z_0)\, dt}{t^3} \leq 9 \frac{c_2 + \log(c_1/|f(z_0)|)}{R}.$$

This inequality implies (3.7), so we are finished. □

Assume $\rho \geq \max(1, 2\kappa)$; then Lemma 3.1.iii shows that $3 \leq |w(3i\rho)|$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2

$$N_w(r, 3i\rho) \leq 4er + 12\rho + \log(5\kappa); \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$|\Pi_w(R, z) - 1| \leq \frac{C_1 |z|^2}{R} \exp\left(\frac{C_1 |z|^2}{R}\right) \tag{3.9}$$

for $R \geq 3\rho$, $z \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}(R/2)$, and $C_1 = 18(4 + \log[(\kappa + 2)/3])$. Furthermore, the same lemma gives

$$N_s(r, 0) \leq 2er + \log(\kappa/\delta); \tag{3.10}$$

$$|\Pi_{s^-}(R, z) - 1| \leq C_2 \frac{|z|^2}{R} \exp\left(C_2 \frac{|z|^2}{R}\right) \tag{3.11}$$

for $R \geq 0$, $z \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}(R/2)$, and $C_2 = 18[2 + \log(\kappa/\delta))$.

We now give two propositions that reveal regions where w and s cannot have zeros. The first gives a neighborhood of the origin in which neither w nor s vanish in terms of Q and δ. The second, due to Hitrik, supplies a resonance-free strip for a potential based upon the length of its support and its L^1-norm. We omit the proof of this proposition due to its length and refer the interested reader to [8] for the details.

Proposition 3.3. *There exists a constant $\gamma = \gamma(Q, \delta) > 0$ such that for every $q \in B_\delta(Q)$, the functions w_q and s_q do not vanish in the disk $\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $q \in B_\delta(Q)$, and set $A(t) = K_x^+(-1, t) - K_t^+(-1, t)$. Using (2.11), we expand w as

$$w_q(z) = w - q(0) + iz\left(2 + \int_{-1}^{3} (t+1)A(t)\, dt\right) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(iz)^n}{n!} \int_{-1}^{3} (t+1)^n A(t)\, dt.$$

By (2.5), Lemma 2.1 (iv), and Definition 1, there is a constant $C = C(Q)$ such that

$$|w(z)| \geq \delta - C|z| e^{4|z|}.$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $\gamma_w = \gamma_w(Q,\delta)$ such that $\delta - C\,|z|\exp(4\,|z|) > 0$ for $|z| \le \gamma_w$.

On the other hand, we have

$$s(z) = -\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!}\int_{-1}^{3}(t-1)^n[K_x^+(c,t)+K_t^+(c,t)]\,dt\,z^n.$$

Again, we apply (2.5) and Definition 1 to find that there exists a constant $\gamma_s = \gamma_s(Q,\delta)$ such that $|s(z)| > 0$ for $|z| \le \gamma_s$. The smaller of γ_w and γ_s is the desired constant. ☐

Proposition 3.4. *Let $p \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be supported on an interval of length $d > 0$. Assume k is (the square root of) a resonance of p with* Re $k \neq 0$. *Then,*

$$d\,|\mathrm{Im}\ k| \ge \frac{1}{4}\exp(-2d\,\|p\|_1).$$

3.3. The difference of w and $\tilde{w}$ when their small zeros are approximately the same.

We will focus now on the difference of w and $\tilde{w}$. Our goal is to prove the following.

Theorem 3.5. *Let Q and δ be positive and q, $\tilde{q} \in B_\delta(Q)$. Then there exist positive constants $R_0 = R_0(Q)$ and $C = C(Q,\delta)$ such that the following statement is true for every $R \ge R_0$. There exists $E = E(Q,R) > 0$ such that for every $0 < \varepsilon \le E$ it is the case that if the zeros of w and $\tilde{w}$ are ε-close in the disk $\mathcal{D}(R)$, then for every $z \in [-R^{1/3}, R^{1/3}]$ we have*

$$|w(z) - \tilde{w}(z)| \le C(1+|z|)(R^{-1/3} + \varepsilon N)$$

where $N = N_w(R;0) = N_{\tilde{w}}(R;0)$.

The proof of this theorem will be given after the following lemmas which contain the main steps. First, we redefine g so that we may rewrite (2.9) as

$$w(z) = e^{g(z)}\Pi_w(R,z)\prod_{|w_n|<R}(w_n - z). \tag{3.12}$$

Set $N = N_w(R;0) = N_{\tilde{w}}(R;0)$, and define

$$W(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{N}\frac{z-w_n}{z-\tilde{w}_n}. \tag{3.13}$$

Since $\tilde{w}$ does not vanish on the real line, dividing by $z - \tilde{w}_n$ poses no problem for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Note we have rewritten the indices so that $\tilde{w}_n$ is the one close to w_n. From (3.13), we have

$$w(z) = \tilde{w}(z)e^{g(z)-\tilde{g}(z)}W(z)\frac{\Pi_w(R,z)}{\Pi_{\tilde{w}}(R,z)}, \tag{3.14}$$

after also redefining $\tilde{g}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose $|w_n - \tilde{w}_n| \le \varepsilon \le 9\kappa/4$ for $1 \le n \le N$. Then, there exist constants $R_0 = R_0(Q) \ge \max(2\kappa,1)$ and $C = C(Q)$ such that the following statement is true for every $R \ge R_0$. If $\left|z - 3iR^{1/3}\right| \le R^{1/3}$, then*

$$\left|e^{g(z)-\tilde{g}(z)} - 1\right| \le C\left[R^{-1/3} + \varepsilon N\exp\left(\frac{2}{3\kappa}\varepsilon N\right)\right].$$

Proof. From (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \left|e^{g(z)-\tilde{g}(z)}-1\right| \leq & \left|\frac{w(z)}{\tilde{w}(z)}(W(z))^{-1}\right|\left|\frac{\Pi_{\tilde{w}}(R,z)}{\Pi_{w}(R,z)}-1\right| \\ & + |W(z)|^{-1}\left|\frac{w(z)}{\tilde{w}(z)}-1\right| + \left|(W(z))^{-1}-1\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

We begin by estimating the final term on the right hand side above. By Lemma 3.1.iii,

$$|w(z)| \geq |z| \geq 2\rho \geq 4\kappa \tag{3.16}$$

whenever $\rho \geq 2\kappa$ and $|z-3i\rho| \leq \rho$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1.i, we know $|w(z)| \geq 2|z| - \kappa$ when $\operatorname{Im} z \geq 0$. So, we get $w(z) \neq 0$ for z in the closed upper half-plane for which $2|z| > \kappa$. Hence, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the imaginary part of w_n cannot exceed $\kappa/2$. We conclude that

$$|z-w_n| \geq \operatorname{Im}(z-w_n) \geq 2\rho - \kappa/2 \geq 3\kappa,$$

when $|z-3i\rho| \leq \rho$. Therefore, for $1 \leq n \leq N$

$$\left|\frac{\tilde{w}_n - w_n}{z-w_n}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3\kappa} \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Since

$$\frac{z-\tilde{w}_n}{z-w_n} = 1 + \frac{w_n-\tilde{w}_n}{z-w_n},$$

and $\log(1+t) \leq 2|t|$ for $|t| \leq 3/4$, we have

$$\left|\log(W(z)^{-1})\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|\log\left(1+\frac{w_n-\tilde{w}_n}{z-w_n}\right|\right) \leq \frac{2}{3\kappa}\varepsilon N.$$

Using $|e^u-1| \leq |u|\,e^{|u|}$ with $u = \log(W^{-1})$, we get

$$\left|(W(z))^{-1}-1\right| \leq \frac{2}{3\kappa}\varepsilon N \exp\left(\frac{2}{3\kappa}\varepsilon N\right). \tag{3.17}$$

For the middle term of (3.15), we apply Lemma 3.1.iii and (3.16) (which is valid for $\tilde{w}$ as well) to get

$$\left|\frac{w(z)}{\tilde{w}(z)}-1\right| \leq 4\kappa R^{-1/3}. \tag{3.18}$$

As for the first term, inequality (3.9) implies there are constants $C = C(Q) > 0$ and $R_0 = R_0(Q) > 0$ so that for every $R \geq R_0$,

$$\left|\frac{\Pi_{\tilde{w}}(R,z)}{\Pi_{w}(R,z)}-1\right| \leq CR^{-1/3}. \tag{3.19}$$

Applying (3.17), (3.18), and (3.19) to (3.15) yields the desired inequality. □

Corollary 3.7. *There exist positive constants $R_0 = R_0(Q)$ and $C = C(Q)$ such that for every $R \geq R_0$ the following statement is true. There exists $E = E(Q,R) > 0$ such that for every $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq E$ we have*

$$\left|e^{g(z)-\tilde{g}(z)}-1\right| \leq C(R^{-1/3}+\varepsilon N)$$

when $|z| \leq R^{1/3}$ and $|w_n - \tilde{w}_n| \leq \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$.

Proof. Let R_0 and C be the constants given by Lemma 3.6. Increase them (if needed) so that $C > 1/2$ and $R_0^{-1/3} < \exp(-2/(3\kappa))/(2C)$. Choose E so that

$$EN \leq \frac{1}{2C} e^{-2/(3\kappa)} - R_0^{-1/3}.$$

Then for $R \geq R_0$ and $\varepsilon \leq E$, Lemma 3.6 implies

$$\left| e^{g(z)-\tilde{g}(z)} - 1 \right| \leq C e^{2/(3\kappa)} (R^{-1/3} + \varepsilon N)$$

for $\left| z - 3iR^{1/3} \right| \leq R^{1/3}$.

We are finished after applying the following claim. If $F(z) = \exp(a_1 z + a_0) - 1$ and $|F(z)| \leq \alpha < 1$ for $z \in \mathcal{D}(\rho, 3i\rho)$, then

$$|F(z)| \leq \frac{6\alpha}{1-\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{6\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)$$

for $|z| \leq \rho$. Indeed, for $z \in \mathcal{D}(\rho, 3i\rho)$, we have

$$|a_1 z + a_0| = |\log(F(z) + 1)| \leq \alpha(1-\alpha)^{-1}.$$

Then, Cauchy's estimate yields

$$|a_1| = \left| \frac{d}{dz} \log(F(z)+1) \big|_{z=3i\rho} \right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\rho}.$$

Thus, we find $|a_0| \leq 5\alpha(1-\alpha)^{-1}$ because $|z| \leq 4\rho$. Applying the inequality $|\exp(z) - 1| \leq |z| \exp |z|$ and the bounds on a_1 and a_0 for $z \in \mathcal{D}(\rho)$ completes the proof of our claim. □

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose* $|w_n - \tilde{w}_n| \leq \varepsilon \leq 3 \min\{\gamma, \exp(-4Q)/8\}/4$ *for* $1 \leq n \leq N$ *where* γ *is the number given in Proposition 3.3. Then, there exists a* $C = C(Q, \delta)$ *such that for every* $z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|W(z) - 1| \leq C\varepsilon N \exp(C\varepsilon N).$$

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}$. By Proposition 3.4 we have

$$|z - \tilde{w}_n| \geq -\text{Im } \tilde{w}_n \geq \frac{1}{8} \exp(-4Q)$$

for all resonances $\tilde{w}_n$ whose real part is non-zero. For the eigenvalues and those resonances on the imaginary axis, we apply Lemma 3.3 to find

$$|z - \tilde{w}_n| \geq |\text{Im } \tilde{w}_n| = |w_n| \geq \gamma.$$

Therefore, $|z - \tilde{w}_n| \geq \min\{\gamma, \exp(-4Q)/8\}$ for every n.

The inequality $|e^u - 1| \leq |u| e^{|u|}$ for $u = \log W$ completes the proof if we can show $|u| \leq C\varepsilon N$ for some constant C. To that end, let $2C^{-1} = \min\{\gamma, \exp(-4Q)/8\}$, and note that $|w_n - \tilde{w}_n| \leq 3|z - \tilde{w}_n|/4$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$ by assumption. Then,

$$|u| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| \log\left(1 + \frac{\tilde{w}_n - w_n}{z - \tilde{w}_n} \right) \right| \leq C\varepsilon N$$

since $\log(1+t) \leq 2|t|$ for $|t| \leq 3/4$. □

We have all we need to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. From (3.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}|w(z)-\tilde{w}(z)| \le |\tilde{w}(z)| \left[\left|e^{g(z)-\tilde{g}(z)}\right| \left(|W(z)| \left|\frac{\Pi_w(R,z)}{\Pi_{\tilde{w}}(R,z)} - 1\right| + |W(z)-1|\right)\right] \\ + |\tilde{w}(z)| \left|e^{g(z)-\tilde{g}(z)} - 1\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.9), Lemmas 3.1 and 3.8, and Corollary 3.7 finishes the proof. □

3.4. **The difference of s and $\tilde{s}$ when finitely many of their zeros are approximately the same.** We now move on to the difference of s and $\tilde{s}$. Due to Lemma 2.4, changing the number $b_1 = \dot{s}(0)/s(0)$ in the factorization (2.10) will only result in a shift of the potential. Since such a shift does not affect the zeros of s (or w) we make the following assumption.

Assumption 2. The functions s and $\tilde{s}$ satisfy $\dot{s}(0)/s(0) = \dot{\tilde{s}}(0)/\tilde{s}(0)$.

Set $N' = N_s(R,0) = N_{\tilde{s}}(R,0)$. As we did for w, we rewrite (2.10) as

$$s(z) = e^{h(z)} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N'} \frac{1}{s_n}\right) \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N'} (s_n - z)\Pi_s(R,z)\right), \tag{3.20}$$

after redefining h properly. We need to be more careful with s, because it may have real zeros. Due to these zeros, our estimates do not come out as neatly as they did for $w-\tilde{w}$. We will prove the following.

Theorem 3.9. *Let Q and δ be positive, and suppose q, $\tilde{q} \in B_\delta(Q)$. Assume s and $\tilde{s}$ satisfy Assumption 2. Then there are positive constants $R_0' = R_0'(Q,\delta)$ and $C' = C'(Q,\delta)$ such that for every $R \ge R_0'$ the following statement is true. There exists a constant $E' = E(Q,R) > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon' \in [0,E']$ and every $\eta \in (\varepsilon', 1)$ we have*

$$|s(z)-\tilde{s}(z)| \le C'[\eta^{-1}\varepsilon' N' + R^{-1/3} + (1+|z|)\varepsilon' N' + |s(0)-\tilde{s}(0)|]$$

whenever the zeros of s and $\tilde{s}$ are ε'-close in the disk $\mathcal{D}(R)$ and

$$z \in \left\{z \in \mathbb{R} : |z| \le R^{1/3}, |z-s_n| \ge \eta, 1 \le n \le N'\right\}.$$

As we did for $w-\tilde{w}$, we will break the proof into a few lemmas.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $R > 0$. Suppose s and $\tilde{s}$ satisfy Assumption 2 and $|s_n - \tilde{s}_n| \le \varepsilon' \le 3\gamma/4$ for $1 \le n \le N'$. Then, we have*

$$\left|e^{h(z)-\tilde{h}(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{M} \frac{\tilde{s}_n}{s_n} - 1\right| \le \frac{2\kappa}{\gamma^2}\varepsilon' N' \exp(2\gamma^{-1}\varepsilon' N') + \frac{\kappa}{\delta\gamma^2}\varepsilon' N' |z| + \frac{1}{\delta}|s(0)-\tilde{s}(0)|$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Using (3.20) and $b_1 = \tilde{b}_1$ from Assumption 2, we see that

$$h(z) - \tilde{h}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{N'} \left(\frac{1}{s_n} - \frac{1}{\tilde{s}_n}\right) z + b_0 - \tilde{b}_0,$$

meaning

$$\begin{aligned}\left|e^{h(z)-\tilde{h}(z)}\prod_{n=1}^{N'}\frac{\tilde{s}_n}{s_n}-1\right| \le{}& \left|e^{h(z)-\tilde{h}(z)}\right|\left|\prod_{n=1}^{N'}\frac{\tilde{s}_n}{s_n}-1\right| \\ &+\left|e^{b_0-\tilde{b}_0}\right|\left|\exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{N'}\left(\frac{1}{s_n}-\frac{1}{\tilde{s}_n}\right)z\right]-1\right| \\ &+\left|e^{b_0-\tilde{b}_0}-1\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Recall that $e^{b_0}=s(0)$ and similarly for $\tilde{s}$. Therefore, the final term on the right side above is bounded by $\delta^{-1}\left|s(0)-\tilde{s}(0)\right|$.

For the middle term on the right side of (3.21), we begin by claiming that $\sum s_n^{-1}z$ is purely imaginary. Indeed by Lemma (2.1).i, s_n is a zero of s in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ with Re $s_n \neq 0$ if and only if $-\overline{s_n}$ is a zero of s in the same disk. Summing over all zeros in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ yields

$$\sum\frac{1}{s_n}=\sum_{s_n\in i\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{s_n}+\sum_{\mathrm{Re}\ s_n>0}\frac{1}{s_n}-\frac{1}{\overline{s_n}}=\sum_{s_n\in i\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{s_n}+2i\sum_{\mathrm{Re}\ s_n>0}\mathrm{Im}\ \frac{1}{s_n},$$

which is purely imaginary since $z\in\mathbb{R}$. The inequality $\left|e^{i\theta}-1\right|\le|\theta|$ for $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$, the assumption $|s_n-\tilde{s}_n|\le\varepsilon'$, Proposition 3.3, and Lemma 3.1.iv imply

$$\left|e^{b_0-\tilde{b}_0}\right|\left|\exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{N'}\left(\frac{1}{s_n}-\frac{1}{\tilde{s}_n}\right)z\right]-1\right|\le\frac{\kappa}{\delta}\sum_{n=1}^{N'}\frac{|\tilde{s_n}-s_n|}{|s_n\tilde{s_n}|}\,|z|\le\frac{\kappa\varepsilon' N'}{\delta\gamma^2}\,|z|\,.$$

Turning to the first term of (3.21), we set $u=\log\prod(\tilde{s}_n/s_n)$ which we assume for now is well-defined. Since $\varepsilon'\le 3\gamma/4$,

$$\left|\log\frac{\tilde{s}_n}{s_n}\right|=\left|\log\left(1+\frac{\tilde{s}_n-s_n}{s_n}\right)\right|\le\frac{2}{\gamma}\varepsilon'$$

since $|\log(1+t)|\le 2\,|t|$ when $|t|\le 3/4$. Thus,

$$|u|\le\frac{2}{\gamma}\varepsilon' N'.$$

Applying $|e^u-1|\le|u|\,e^{|u|}$, we find the first term is bounded by

$$2\kappa\varepsilon' N'\exp(2\varepsilon' N'/\gamma)/\gamma^2.$$

We are finished by putting all the estimates we obtained into (3.21) as long as u is well-defined. To make sure it is, we must verify $\tilde{s}_n/s_n$ is never a negative real number for $1\le n\le N'$. Suppose that there is a k between 1 and N' such that $\tilde{s}_k/s_k<0$. Then, there is a postive number y such that $\tilde{s}_k=-ys_k$. However, $|\tilde{s}_k|\,(1+y)=|\tilde{s}_k-s_k|\le\varepsilon'$ implies that $1+y\le\varepsilon'/\gamma<1$ which contradicts $y>0$. Therefore, u is well-defined. □

Define for $z\notin\{\tilde{s}_n : 1\le n\le N'\}$,

$$S(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{N'}\frac{z-\tilde{s}_n}{z-s_n}. \tag{3.22}$$

Lemma 3.11. *Let $R > 0$, and suppose $|s_n - \tilde{s}_n| \le \varepsilon'$ for $1 \le n \le N'$. Then, for every η such that $\varepsilon' \le 3\eta/4$ we have*

$$|S(z) - 1| \le \frac{2}{\eta}\varepsilon' N' \exp(2\eta^{-1}\varepsilon' N')$$

whenever $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|z - s_n| \ge \eta$ for every n such that $1 \le n \le N'$.

Proof. Set $u = \log S$. Then,

$$|u| \le \sum_{n=1}^{N'} \left| \log\left(1 + \frac{s_n - \tilde{s}_n}{z - s_n}\right)\right| \le 2\sum_{n=1}^{N'} \left|\frac{s_n - \tilde{s}_n}{z - s_n}\right| \le \frac{2}{\eta}\varepsilon' N'$$

because $\log(1+t) \le 2|t|$ for $|t| \le 3/4$. Applying $|e^u - 1| \le |u| e^{|u|}$ completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3.9. By inequality (3.11) we may choose an R_0' large enough so that for every $R \ge R_0'$, the estimate

$$\left|\frac{\Pi_s(R,z)}{\Pi_{\tilde{s}}(R,z)} - 1\right| \le cR^{-1/3} \tag{3.23}$$

holds for some $c = c(Q,\delta)$ and for every $z \in [-R^{1/3}, R^{1/3}]$. By (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |s(z) - \tilde{s}(z)| \le |s(z)|\,|S(z) - 1| + |\tilde{s}(z)| \left|e^{h(z)-\tilde{h}(z)}\right| \left|\prod_{n=1}^{N'} \frac{\tilde{s}_n}{s_n}\left(\frac{\Pi_s(R,z)}{\Pi_{\tilde{s}}(R,z)} - 1\right)\right| \\ + |\tilde{s}(z)| \left| e^{h(z)-\tilde{h}(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{N'} \frac{\tilde{s}_n}{s_n} - 1\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Let $E' = \min\left\{N'^{-1}, 3\gamma/4\right\}$ where $\gamma < 1$ is given by Lemma 3.3. Suppose $0 \le \varepsilon' \le E'$ and $\varepsilon' < \eta < 1$. Applying (3.23) and Lemmas 3.1, 3.10, and 3.11 we have the required estimate for a $C' = C'(Q,\delta)$ and for all $z \in [-R^{1/3}, R^{1/3}]$ which are at least a distance η away from a zero of s. □

Corollary 3.12. *Let Q and δ be positive, and suppose q, $\tilde{q} \in B_\delta(Q)$. Assume s and $\tilde{s}$ satisfy Assumption 2. Then there are positive constants $R_0 = R_0(Q,\delta) \ge 1$ and $C = C(Q,\delta)$ such that for every $R \ge R_0$ the following statement is true. There exists a constant $E = E(Q,R) > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in [0,E]$ and every $\eta \in (\varepsilon, 1)$ we have*

$$\left|f^+(-1,z) - \tilde{f}^+(-1,z)\right| \le \frac{C}{|z|}(R^{-1/3} + \varepsilon N + \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}N)$$

whenever the zeros of w and $\tilde{w}$ and s and $\tilde{s}$ are, respectively, ε-close in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ and $z \in [-R^{1/3}, R^{1/3}]$, but z is at least η away from a zero of s.

Proof. By Lemmas 2.1.iv and 3.2 and Definition 1, we find that $N_s(R,0) \le N_w(R,0)$ for any $R > 0$. Therefore, we obtain the desired inequality by Theorems 3.5 and 3.9. □

4. THE DIFFERENCE OF TRANSFORMATION OPERATORS ALONG A LINE

In order to obtain a rate of convergence, we assume that $q - \tilde{q} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for some $p \in (1, 2]$, in addition to $q, \tilde{q} \in B_\delta(Q)$. Now we wish to bound the difference of K^+ and $\tilde{K}^+$ along the line $x = -1$. We begin with (3.2) and invert the Fourier transform to find

$$K^+(-1,t) - \tilde{K}^+(-1,t) = \lim_{A\to\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-A}^{A} (f^+(-1,z) - \tilde{f}^+(-1,z))e^{-izt}\, dz. \tag{4.1}$$

Because the supports of $K^+(-1,\cdot)$ and $\tilde{K}^+(-1,\cdot)$ are contained in the interval $[-1,3]$, we only need to be concerned with t in that interval.

Let $R \geq R_0$ and assume $A \geq R^{1/9}$. We break the interval $[-A, A]$ into four parts:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= [-R^{-1/9}, R^{-1/9}], \\ X_2 &= \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R} : R^{-1/9} \leq |z| \leq R^{1/9},\ |z - s_n| \geq \eta \text{ for every } |s_n| < R \right\}, \\ X_3 &= [-R^{1/9}, R^{1/9}] \setminus (X_1 \cup X_2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X_4 = \left\{ z : R^{1/9} < |z| \leq A \right\}.$$

We define the corresponding integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_1} (f^+(-1,z) - \tilde{f}^+(-1,z))e^{-izt}\, dz, \\ I_2(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_2} (f^+(-1,z) - \tilde{f}^+(-1,z))e^{-izt}\, dz, \\ I_3(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{X_3} (f^+(-1,z) - \tilde{f}^+(-1,z))e^{-izt}\, dz, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_4(t,A) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R^{1/9} \leq |z| \leq A} (f^+(-1,z) - \tilde{f}^+(-1,z))e^{-izt}\, dz. \tag{4.2}$$

Equation (2.2) implies that $f^+(-1,\cdot)$ and $\tilde{f}^+(-1,\cdot)$ are bounded by a constant, $C_1 = C_1(Q)$. Hence, we conclude

$$|I_1(t)| \leq C_1 R^{-1/9}, \tag{4.3}$$

for every real t. Corollary 3.12 implies there is a $C_2 = C_2(Q, \delta)$ such that

$$|I_2(t)| \leq C_2(R^{-1/9} + \varepsilon R^{11/9} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta} R^{11/9}). \tag{4.4}$$

As for I_3, we bound the integrand by a constant and multiply by the measure of X_3. Because $|z - s_n| \geq \eta$ when $z \in [-R^{1/9}, R^{1/9}]$ and $|s_n| \geq R^{1/9} + \eta$, the zero of s which is η-close to $z \in X_3$ must be in the disk $\mathcal{D}(R^{1/9} + \eta)$. Thus, by (3.10) there exists a $C_3 = C_3(Q, \delta)$ such that

$$\mathrm{m}(X_3) \leq 2\eta N_s(R^{1/9} + \eta, 0) \leq C_3 \eta R^{1/9}. \tag{4.5}$$

Hence, the same bound applies to $|I_3|$ but with a different constant.

We are left with estimating I_4. Going back to (2.2) and integrating by parts, we get

$$f^+(-1,z) = e^{-iz} + i\frac{K^+(-1,-1)}{z}e^{-iz} + \frac{i}{z}\hat{g}(z)$$

where $g(t) = K_t(-1,t)$. Recall from Section 2 that the support of g is contained in $[-1,3]$. Thus,

$$f^+(-1,z) - \tilde{f}^+(-1,z) = \frac{i}{z}(K^+(-1,-1) - \tilde{K}^+(-1,-1))e^{-iz} + \frac{i}{z}(\widehat{g-\tilde{g}})(z). \tag{4.6}$$

Inequality (2.5) implies that $|g(t) - \tilde{g}(t)|$ is bounded by the sum of a constant depending only on Q and the difference of $q((t-1)/2)$ and $\tilde{q}((t-1)/2)$. Therefore, because $q - \tilde{q} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, we have that $g - \tilde{g} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|g-\tilde{g}\|_p \leq c(1 + \|q-\tilde{q}\|_p) \tag{4.7}$$

for a constant c depending only on Q.

Substituting (4.6) into (4.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_4(t,A) = {} & \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{R^{1/9}\leq|z|\leq A} \frac{K^+(-1,-1) - \tilde{K}^+(-1,-1)}{z}e^{-iz(t+1)}\,dz \\ & - \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{R^{1/9}\leq|z|\leq A} \frac{(\widehat{g-\tilde{g}})(z)}{z}e^{-izt}\,dz. \end{aligned}$$

By the inequalities of Hölder and Hausdorff-Young, we obtain for every real t

$$\left|\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{R^{1/9}\leq|z|\leq A} \frac{(\widehat{g-\tilde{g}})(z)}{z}e^{-izt}\,dz\right| \leq C_4(p-1)^{-1/p}(1+\|q-\tilde{q}\|_p)R^{(1-p)/9p} \tag{4.8}$$

for every large A and, thus, for the limit $A \to \infty$.

On the other hand, assume $-1 \leq t \leq 3$. Then, after the change $y = (t+1)z$,

$$\frac{i}{2}\int_{R^{1/9}\leq|z|\leq A} \frac{e^{-izt}}{z}\,dz = \int_{R^{1/18}}^{A} \frac{\sin[(t+1)z]}{z}\,dz = \int_{(t+1)R^{1/9}}^{(t+1)A} \frac{\sin y}{y}\,dy$$

If $(t+1)R^{1/9} \geq 1$, we integrate by parts to find

$$\int_{(t+1)R^{1/9}}^{(t+1)A} \frac{\sin y}{y}\,dy = \frac{\cos[(t+1)R^{1/9}]}{(t+1)R^{1/9}} - \frac{\cos[(t+1)A]}{(t+1)A} + \int_{(t+1)R^{1/9}}^{tA} \frac{\cos y}{y^2}\,dy$$

which is $\mathcal{O}((t+1)R^{1/9})^{-1})$ as $A \to \infty$. Otherwise, we use that $\int_0^x \sin(t)/t\,dt \leq \pi$ for all $x > 0$ to see

$$\int_{(t+1)R^{1/9}}^{(t+1)A} \frac{\sin y}{y}\,dy \leq \int_{0}^{(t+1)A} \frac{\sin y}{y}\,dy \leq \pi.$$

Therefore, there exists a numerical constant, C_5, such that

$$\left|\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{R^{1/9}\leq|z|\leq A} \frac{e^{-izt}}{z}\,dz\right| \leq C_5 \min\left(1, \frac{1}{(t+1)R^{1/9}}\right) \tag{4.9}$$

for every large A.

Combining the estimates (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.8), and (4.9), we obtain this result.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose q and $\tilde{q}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary 3.12 and $q-\tilde{q} \in L^p[-1,1]$ for some $p \in (1,2]$; then there is a constant $C = C(Q,\delta)$ such that for every $R \geq R_0$ and $\varepsilon \in [0,E]$, we have*

$$\left|K^+(-1,t) - \tilde{K}^+(-1,t)\right| \leq C(p-1)^{-1/p}(1+\|q-\tilde{q}\|_p) \times \min\left(1, \frac{1}{(t+1)R^\mu} + \varepsilon^{6/7}R^{11/9} + \varepsilon^{1/7}R^{1/9}\right)$$

where $\mu = (p-1)/9p$.

Corollary 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 and with $\lambda = \varepsilon^{6/7}R^{11/9} + \varepsilon^{1/7}R^{1/9}$ we have the estimate*

$$\left|B^+(-1,t)\right| \leq C(p-1)^{-1/p}(1+\|q-\tilde{q}\|_p)\min\left(1, \frac{\log R}{(t+1)(1-\lambda)R^\mu} + \lambda\right)$$

for a $C = C(Q,\delta)$.

5. The difference of two potentials

We wish to estimate $B^+(x,x)$, $x \in [-1,1]$, from Corollary 4.2. Given $B^+(x,t) = 0$ for $x+t \geq 2$ and assuming $B^+(-1,t)$ is known for $-1 \leq t \leq 3$ we can determine $B^+(x,t)$ in the triangle bounded by $x=-1$, $x=t$, and $x+t=2$ using the integral equation

$$B^+(x,t) = B^+(-1,1+x+t) + \int_{(x+t)/2}^{1} d\alpha \int_{(t-x)/2}^{1+(x+t)/2} (q(\alpha+\beta) - \tilde{q}(\alpha-\beta))B^+(\alpha-\beta,\alpha+\beta)\,d\beta.$$

The derivation of this integral equation can be found in [16]. Iteration shows that the solution is given by

$$B^+(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^+(x,t)$$

where

$$B_0^+(x,t) = B^+(-1,x+t+1)$$

and

$$B_{n+1}^+(x,t) = \int_{(x+t)/2}^{1} d\alpha \int_{(t-x)/2}^{1+(x+t)/2} (q(\alpha+\beta)-\tilde{q}(\alpha-\beta))B_n(\alpha-\beta,\alpha+\beta)\,d\beta. \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that q and $\tilde{q}$ are in $B_\delta(Q)$ and that there exist positive constants C, $R_1 \geq 1$, and $\lambda < 1$ such that for all $t \in (-1,3]$,*

$$\left|B^+(-1,t)\right| \leq C\min\left(1, \frac{1}{(t+1)R_1} + \lambda\right). \tag{5.2}$$

Then

$$\left|B_n^+(x,t)\right| \leq 2C\left(\frac{\log(4R_1)}{(1-\lambda)R_1} + \lambda\right)\frac{(2Q)^n}{(n-1)!}\left(1 - \frac{x+t}{2}\right)^{n-1} \tag{5.3}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-2 \leq x+t \leq 2$.

Proof. The proof is by induction. For $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|B_1^+(x,t)\right| &\leq \int_{(x+t)/2}^{1} 2QC \min\left(1, \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)R_1} + \lambda\right) d\alpha \\ &\leq C(2Q) \int_{-1}^{1/(2(1-\lambda)R_1)-1} d\alpha + \int_{1/(2(1-\lambda)R_1)-1}^{1} \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)R_1} + \lambda \, d\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating, we obtain the required estimate.

Taking (5.3) and plugging into the right hand side of (5.1), we get

$$\left|B_{n+1}^+(x,t)\right| \leq 2C\left(\frac{\log(4R_1)}{(1-\lambda)R_1} + \lambda\right) \frac{(2Q)^n}{(n-1)!} \int_{(x+t)/2}^{1} (1-\alpha)^{n-1} \, d\alpha$$

which completes the proof. ☐

Lemma 5.2. *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 the estimate*

$$\left|B^+(x,t)\right| \leq C(1+16Q)e^{4Q}\left(\frac{\log(4R_1)}{(x+t+2)(1-\lambda)R_1} + \lambda\right) \tag{5.4}$$

holds for all (x,t) *in the triangle bounded by* $x=-1$, $x=t$, *and* $t+x=2$.

Proof. Immediate consequence of $B^+(x,t) = B^+(-1, x+t+1) + \sum B_n^+(x,t)$ and the estimates (5.2), (5.3). ☐

Theorem 5.3. *Let* Q_1, Q_p, *and* δ *be positive constants and* $p \in (1,2]$. *Then there are positive numbers* $C = C(Q_1, Q_p, \delta)$ *and* $R_0 = R_0(Q_1, Q_p, \delta, p)$ *so that the following is true for any* $R \geq R_0$. *There is a constant* $E = E(Q_1, R)$ *such that when* $q, \tilde{q} \in B_\delta(Q_1)$ *are two potentials for which* s *and* $\tilde{s}$ *satisfy Assumption 2, the zeros of* w *and* $\tilde{w}$ *and* s *and* $\tilde{s}$ *are, respectively,* ε*-close in the disk* $\mathcal{D}(R)$ *with* $\varepsilon \in [0, E]$, *and* $\|q - \tilde{q}\|_p \leq Q_p$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in[-1,1]} \left|\int_x^1 q(s) - \tilde{q}(s)\, ds\right| &\leq C(\log R)^{2(p-1)/(2p-1)} R^{-(p-1)^2/(9p(2p-1))} \\ &\quad + C(p-1)^{-1/p}(\varepsilon^{6/7}R^{11/9} + \varepsilon^{1/7}R^{1/9}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Choose R_0 and E as in Theorem 3.12. Then Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 5.1 show, with $\mu = (p-1)/9p$ and $\lambda = \varepsilon^{6/7}R^{11/9} + \varepsilon^{1/7}R^{1/9}$,

$$\left|B^+(x,t)\right| \leq C(p-1)^{-1/p}(1+Q_p)\left[\frac{(\log R)^2}{(2+t+x)(1-\lambda)^2R^\mu} + \lambda\right].$$

Let $0 < \eta < 1$. For $\eta - 1 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$\left|\int_x^1 q(s) - \tilde{q}(s)\, ds\right| \leq C(p-1)^{-1/p}(1+Q_p)\left[\frac{(\log R)^2}{\eta R^\mu} + \lambda\right] = \frac{M_1}{\eta} + M_2\lambda.$$

However, for $-1 \leq x < \eta - 1$, Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_x^1 q(s) - \tilde{q}(s)\, ds\right| &\leq \left|\int_x^{\eta-1} q - \tilde{q}\right| + \frac{M_1}{\eta} + M_2\lambda \\ &\leq Q_p\eta^{1/p'} + \frac{M_1}{\eta} + M_2\lambda\end{aligned}$$

where $p' = p/(p-1)$. Increasing R_0 so that $M_1p' < Q_p$, the first two terms of the error balance when $\eta = (M_1p'/Q_p)^{p'/p'+1} < 1$. Finally, we decrease E so that $\lambda \leq 1/2$ to arrive at the desired inequality. ☐

The proof of Theorem 5.3 shows $C = \mathcal{O}(Q_p^{p/(2p-1)})$ as $Q_p \to 0$.

Since we could have carried out our analysis with s^+ instead of s^-, we arrive at the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4 (Conditional Stablitiy)**.** *Let q and $\tilde{q}$ be two real-valued potentials with support in $[-1,1]$; let s_q and $s_{\tilde{q}}$ stand for either s_q^- and $s_{\tilde{q}}^-$ or s_q^+ and $s_{\tilde{q}}^+$. Suppose $\|q\|_p$ and $\|\tilde{q}\|_p$ are bounded by Q_p for some $p > 1$, the moduli of $s_q(0)$ and $s_{\tilde{q}}(0)$ are no less than δ, and $\dot{s}_q(0)/s_q(0) = \dot{s}_{\tilde{q}}(0)/s_{\tilde{q}}(0)$. Then for any $\alpha > 0$ there exists a pair (R, ε), depending only on δ, Q_p, p, and α, such that if the corresponding (left or right) reflection coefficients have zeros and poles differing by at most ε, respectively, in a disk of radius R then*

$$\sup_{x\in[-1,1]} \left| \int_x^1 (q - \tilde{q}) \right| \le \alpha.$$

6. Stability of small perturbations of the zero potential

In this section we prove stability when $\tilde{q} \equiv 0$. Recall that this potential was previously excluded since it has a resonance at zero. Indeed, since $\tilde{w}(z) = 2iz$, the zero potential only has a resonance at zero. Furthermore, we have $\tilde{s} \equiv 0$, $\tilde{K}^+ \equiv 0$, and $\tilde{f}^+(x,z) = \exp(izx)$. Thus, we have $B^+ = K^+$ and

$$\left(\frac{w(z)}{2iz} - 1\right) e^{-iz} + \frac{s(z)}{2iz} e^{iz} = f^+(-1,z) - e^{-iz} = \int_{-1}^{3} K^+(-1,t) e^{izt}\, dt. \tag{6.1}$$

The proof will go much the same as it did before. First, we estimate

$$\left| w(z)(2iz)^{-1} - 2iz \right|$$

assuming that w has a zero in a small neighborhood of the origin and all its other zeros are large. We then estimate $\left|s(z)(2iz)^{-1}\right|$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ from

$$|s(z)|^2 = |w(z)|^2 - 4z^2 \tag{6.2}$$

which is a consequence of Lemma 2.1 parts (i) and (iii). Note that we do *not* need the zeros of s in this case. We bound $|f^+(-1,z) - \exp(-iz)|$ from the previous estimates and then apply the results of sections 4 and 5 almost without change to arrive at this result.

Theorem 6.1. *Let Q_1 and Q_p be positive constants and $p \in (1,2]$. Then there are positive numbers $C = C(Q_1, Q_p)$ and $R_0 = R_0(Q_1, Q_p, p)$ so that the following is true for any $R \ge R_0$. There is a constant $E = E(Q_1, R)$ such that if $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$ is supported in $[-1,1]$, $\|q\|_1 \le Q_1$, $\|q\|_p \le Q_p$, and has exactly one eigenvalue or resonance in the disk $\mathcal{D}(\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon \in [0, E]$ and no others in the disk $\mathcal{D}(R)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in[-1,1]} \left| \int_x^1 q(s)\, ds \right| &\le C(\log R)^{2(p-1)/(2p-1)} R^{-(p-1)^2/(12p(2p-1))} \\ &\quad + C(p-1)^{-1/p} \sqrt{\varepsilon} R^{1/12} \log R.\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank my advisor, Rudi Weikard, for the questions answered, critiques given, and mistakes corrected during the research for and preparation of this work.

References

[1] T Aktosun. Stability of the Marchenko inversion. *Inverse Problems*, 3(4):555–563, November 1987.

[2] Tuncay Aktosun, Martin Klaus, and Cornelis van der Mee. On the Riemann-Hilbert problem for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. *J. Math. Phys.*, 34(7):2651–2690, 1993.

[3] Khalig Aslanov. Stability of the inverse problem of scattering theory of Sturm-Liouville self-adjoint operator. *Proceedings of the IMM of NAS of Azerbaijan*, 21(29):27–38, 2004.

[4] B M Brown and R Weikard. The inverse resonance problem for perturbations of algebro-geometric potentials. *Inverse Problems*, 20(2):481–494, April 2004.

[5] P. Deift and R. Killip. On the absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with square summable potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 203(2):341–347, 1999.

[6] H. J. S. Dorren, E.J. Muyzert, and R. K. Sneider. The stability of one-dimensional inverse scattering. *Inverse Problems*, 10:865–880, 1994.

[7] Benoît Grébert and Ricardo Weder. Reconstruction of a potential on the line that is a priori known on the half line. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 55(1):242–254, 1995.

[8] Michael Hitrik. Bounds on Scattering Poles in One Dimension. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 208(2):381–411, December 1999.

[9] Michael Hitrik. Stability of an Inverse Problem in Potential Scattering on The Real Line. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 25(5):925–955, 2000.

[10] Miklós Horváth and Márton Kiss. Stability of direct and inverse eigenvalue problems for Schrödinger operators on finite intervals. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (11):2022–2063, 2010.

[11] E. Korotyaev. Inverse resonance scattering on the real line. *Inverse Problems*, 21:325, 2005.

[12] Evgeni Korotyaev. Stability for inverse resonance problem. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (73):3927–3936, 2004.

[13] B.M. Levitan. *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems*. VNU Science Press, 1987. Translated from the Russian by O. Efimov.

[14] Vladimir A. Marchenko. *Sturm-Liouville Operators and Applications*. Number 22 in Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Birkhäuser, 1986. Translated from the Russian by A. Iacob.

[15] V. M. Markushevich and N. N. Novikova. On the uniqueness of the solution of inverse scattering problem on the real axis for the potentials place on the positive half-axis. *Computational Seismology*, 18:176–184, 1985.

[16] Marco Marletta, Roman Shterenberg, and Rudi Weikard. On the Inverse Resonance Problem for Schrödinger Operators. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 295(2):465–484, October 2009.

[17] Marco Marletta and Rudi Weikard. Weak stability for an inverse Sturm-Liouville problem with finite spectral data and complex potential. *Inverse Problems*, 21(4):1275–1290, 2005.

[18] Joyce R. McLaughlin. Stability theorems for two inverse spectral problems. *Inverse Problems*, 4(2):529–540, 1988.

[19] T. I. Ryabushko. Estimation of the norm of the difference of two potentials of Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems. *Teor. Funktsiĭ Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, (39):114–117, 1983.

[20] Maciej Zworski. Resonances in Physics and Geometry. *Notices of the AMS*, 46(3):319–328, 1999.

[21] Maciej Zworski. A Remark on Isopolar Potentials. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 32(6):1324, 2001.

Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA

E-mail address: `bledsoem@uab.edu`